SENTENCING COMMISSION

MINUTES						
Committee:	SENTENCING COMMISSION MEETING					
Date:	Wednesday, June 2, 2004	Time:	12:30pm- 2:00pm	Place:	Matheson Court House Conference Rm. A	
Members Present	Sheriff Phil Barney, Senator Gregory Bell, Judge Jeffrey Burbank, Scott Carver, Blake Chard, Judge Terry Christiansen, K.S. Cornaby, Senator Mike Dmitrich, Marlene Gonzalez, John Hill, Jim Marchel, Judge Paul Maughan, Rep. Ty McCartney, Ed McConkie, Brian Namba, Judge Gregory K. Orme, Kathy Reimherr, Chief Ed Rhoades, Sy Snarr, Judge Robert S. Yeates, Curt Garner (for Mike Sibbett)					
Members Excused	Paul Boyden, Calvin Clegg, Mary S. Corporon, G. Fred Metos, Michael Sibbett, Rep. Mike Thompson, Kirk Torgenson,					
Staff	Cliff Butter, Terry Enright, Chris Mitchell, Julie Christenson, Ron Gordon, Mike Haddon, Jo Lynn Kruse, Chris Mitchell					
Visitors	Gary Dalton, Frazer Nelson					
Agenda Item:	Welcome and Approval of Minute	s				
Notes:	Kay Cornaby called the meeting to order and welcomed everyone. James Marchel made the motion to approve the minutes from the April 7, 2004 meeting. John Hill seconded the motion and it passed unanimously . Later in the meeting Rep. Ty McCartney noticed his name was omitted from the April 7 th meeting. The minutes have been corrected.					
Agenda Item:	Misdemeanor Sentencing Guideli	nes – Ron	Gordon			
Notes:	An analysis of the Salt Lake County were over sixty recommendations. Sentencing guidelines. The Sentencing misdemeanor sentencing guidelines. misdemeanor offenses and the sex of Probation and Parole stated that at the misdemeanor offenses and would appear those cases, whether jail or intermed Ron introduced Gary Dalton from Salt Lake Criminal Justice Advisory recommendations in the study of the on behalf of Salt Lake County and in	One recoming Commission Our gener ffender marmes they repreciate solitate sanctionalt Lake Committee Salt Lake	mendation was ssion had already all adult sentence atrix addresses of the	that the just y had some ing guideling guideling commendation the Serversee the inglight guideling the serversee the inglight guite system.	e discussion about nes do not address A misdemeanors. Adult tions to the court on atencing Commission on vices. Gary, as staff to the mplementation of the estem. Gary Dalton spoke	
	subcommittee, staffed by the Sentent Orme made the motion for the Sentent	cing Comn	nission, to devel	lop misden	neanor guidelines. Judge	

unanimously.

misdemeanor sentencing guidelines. Judge Maughan seconded the motion, and it passed

Agenda Item:	Drug Offense Guidelines - Chris Mitchell, Cliff Butter, Ron Gordon			
Notes:	The commission briefly discussed the drug offense guidelines at the annual planning meeting in			
	April, and made the decision to discuss it at this meeting. A couple of years ago this commission			
	discussed the possibility of having a separate guideline for those who have been convicted of a			
	drug offense. The issue went before the Adult Guidelines Revision Subcommittee, chaired by			
	Chris Mitchell, and that committee studied the issue for over a year and recommended that this is			
	not the right time to adopt drug offense guidelines. The Sentencing Commission expressed its			
	continued desire to see a drug offense guideline and again sent the issue to the Adult Guidelines			
	Revision Subcommittee. Although the subcommittee did not submit a proposal, it did submit a			
	draft of what a drug offense guideline would look like and requested additional input from the			
	Commission during the April meeting. The draft guideline has separate columns for			
	manufacturing, possession, distribution and prescription offenses rather than combining all drug			
	offense into the other category as the current matrix does. The thought is that the courts treat			
	these differently and if that is the case, our guidelines should reflect that. There are also some			
	differences on the criminal history. If we want to treat possession offenders differently than			
	manufacturing for example, there is going to have to be some way to do that on the criminal			
	history assessment.			
	Discussion ensued with final comments pointing toward the fact that the criminal history and the			
	type of drug are looked at more than any other factor. Judge Orme made the motion to continue			
	efforts to develop a separate matrix for drug offenses with the following guidance:			
	1. establish ranges of possession convictions on the criminal history assessment			
	2. consider the amount of the drug (rather than the value of the drug)			
	3. consider the type of drug			
	Ed McConkie seconded the motion and it passed unanimously .			
	Let Meconice seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.			

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 1, 2004 at noon, State Office Bldg. Auditorium Minutes prepared by Jo Lynn Kruse - Executive Secretary CCJJ